

Feedback Report

Feedback Type: Student to Staff

Faculty Name: Dr. Santosh Kumar

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Speech and Hearing

Feedback Period: Jan - 2018 To Jan - 2018

Sl.No.	Description	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (As perceived by you)	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	Communication Skill (In terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	Sincerity / Commitment of the Teacher	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	Interest generated by the teacher	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment / other issue,to provide a broader perspective	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	Ability to integrate content with other course	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	Ability to design quizzes / Tests /assignment / Examinations and projects to evaluate students	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	Overall Rating	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

No. of User's Feedback Given: 1

Feedback Report

Feedback Type: Student to Staff

Faculty Name: Mrs. Jyothi pinto

Designation: Lecturer

Department: Speech and Hearing

Feedback Period: Jan - 2018 To Jan - 2018

Sl.No.	Description	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (As perceived by you)	30.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	Communication Skill (In terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	10.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	Sincerity / Commitment of the Teacher	30.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	Interest generated by the teacher	0.0	90.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment / other issue,to provide a broader perspective	30.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	Ability to integrate content with other course	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10.0	80.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
8	Ability to design quizzes / Tests /assignment / Examinations and projects to evaluate students	10.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	10.0	80.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
10	Overall Rating	10.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

No. of User's Feedback Given: 10

Feedback Report

Feedback Type: Student to Staff

Faculty Name: Miss. Praseena

Designation: Lecturer

Department: Speech and Hearing

Feedback Period: Jan - 2018 To Jan - 2018

Sl.No.	Description	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (As perceived by you)	0.0	77.8	22.2	0.0	0.0
2	Communication Skill (In terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
3	Sincerity / Commitment of the Teacher	0.0	77.8	11.1	11.1	0.0
4	Interest generated by the teacher	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment / other issue,to provide a broader perspective	0.0	55.6	44.4	0.0	0.0
6	Ability to integrate content with other course	0.0	44.4	55.6	0.0	0.0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	0.0	77.8	11.1	11.1	0.0
8	Ability to design quizzes / Tests /assignment / Examinations and projects to evaluate students	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	0.0	77.8	11.1	11.1	0.0
10	Overall Rating	0.0	77.8	22.2	0.0	0.0

No. of User's Feedback Given: 9

Feedback Report

Feedback Type: Student to Staff

Faculty Name: Miss. Ashwini Sandra Alex Cutinha

Designation: Speech Pathologist Grade II

Department: Speech and Hearing

Feedback Period: Jan - 2018 To Jan - 2018

Sl.No.	Description	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (As perceived by you)	9.1	63.6	18.2	9.1	0.0
2	Communication Skill (In terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	9.1	81.8	9.1	0.0	0.0
3	Sincerity / Commitment of the Teacher	0.0	36.4	54.5	9.1	0.0
4	Interest generated by the teacher	0.0	63.6	36.4	0.0	0.0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment / other issue,to provide a broader perspective	0.0	63.6	27.3	9.1	0.0
6	Ability to integrate content with other course	0.0	81.8	18.2	0.0	0.0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9.1	63.6	18.2	9.1	0.0
8	Ability to design quizzes / Tests /assignment / Examinations and projects to evaluate students	0.0	72.7	27.3	0.0	0.0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	0.0	81.8	18.2	0.0	0.0
10	Overall Rating	0.0	81.8	18.2	0.0	0.0

No. of User's Feedback Given: 11

Feedback Report

Feedback Type: Student to Staff

Faculty Name: Miss. Dasmine Fraclita Dsouza

Designation: Speech Pathologist Grade I

Department: Speech and Hearing

Feedback Period: Jan - 2018 To Jan - 2018

Sl.No.	Description	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (As perceived by you)	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	Communication Skill (In terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	Sincerity / Commitment of the Teacher	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	Interest generated by the teacher	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment / other issue,to provide a broader perspective	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	Ability to integrate content with other course	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	Ability to design quizzes / Tests /assignment / Examinations and projects to evaluate students	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	Overall Rating	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

No. of User's Feedback Given: 2

Feedback Report

Feedback Type: Student to Staff

Faculty Name: Miss. Jacqueline B Fernandes

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Speech and Hearing

Feedback Period: Jan - 2018 To Jan - 2018

Sl.No.	Description	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (As perceived by you)	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	Communication Skill (In terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	Sincerity / Commitment of the Teacher	30.0	60.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
4	Interest generated by the teacher	30.0	60.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment / other issue,to provide a broader perspective	50.0	40.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
6	Ability to integrate content with other course	30.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	30.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	Ability to design quizzes / Tests /assignment / Examinations and projects to evaluate students	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	Overall Rating	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

No. of User's Feedback Given: 10

Feedback Report

Feedback Type: Student to Staff

Faculty Name: Miss. Nikita N

Designation: Audiologist Grade I

Department: Speech and Hearing

Feedback Period: Jan - 2018 To Jan - 2018

Sl.No.	Description	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (As perceived by you)	9.1	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	Communication Skill (In terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	9.1	81.8	9.1	0.0	0.0
3	Sincerity / Commitment of the Teacher	9.1	81.8	9.1	0.0	0.0
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9.1	72.7	9.1	9.1	0.0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment / other issue,to provide a broader perspective	0.0	90.9	9.1	0.0	0.0
6	Ability to integrate content with other course	0.0	90.9	9.1	0.0	0.0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9.1	81.8	9.1	0.0	0.0
8	Ability to design quizzes / Tests /assignment / Examinations and projects to evaluate students	18.2	72.7	9.1	0.0	0.0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	0.0	81.8	9.1	9.1	0.0
10	Overall Rating	0.0	90.9	9.1	0.0	0.0

No. of User's Feedback Given: 11

Feedback Report

Feedback Type: Student to Staff

Faculty Name: Miss. Priya Pauline Rego

Designation: Audiologist Grade I

Department: Speech and Hearing

Feedback Period: Jan - 2018 To Jan - 2018

Sl.No.	Description	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (As perceived by you)	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	Communication Skill (In terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	Sincerity / Commitment of the Teacher	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	Interest generated by the teacher	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment / other issue,to provide a broader perspective	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	Ability to integrate content with other course	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	Ability to design quizzes / Tests /assignment / Examinations and projects to evaluate students	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	Overall Rating	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

No. of User's Feedback Given: 3

Feedback Report

Feedback Type: Student to Staff

Faculty Name: Mr. Akhilesh P.M.

Designation: Dean / Principal

Department: Speech and Hearing

Feedback Period: Jan - 2018 To Jan - 2018

Sl.No.	Description	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (As perceived by you)	0.0	91.7	8.3	0.0	0.0
2	Communication Skill (In terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	8.3	75.0	8.3	8.3	0.0
3	Sincerity / Commitment of the Teacher	8.3	75.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8.3	66.7	8.3	16.7	0.0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment / other issue,to provide a broader perspective	16.7	66.7	16.7	0.0	0.0
6	Ability to integrate content with other course	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8.3	58.3	8.3	25.0	0.0
8	Ability to design quizzes / Tests /assignment / Examinations and projects to evaluate students	0.0	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	8.3	75.0	8.3	8.3	0.0
10	Overall Rating	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0

No. of User's Feedback Given: 12

Feedback Report

Feedback Type: Student to Staff

Faculty Name: Sr. Cynthia Santhmayor

Designation: Assistant Profesor - Speech

Department: Speech and Hearing

Feedback Period: Jan - 2018 To Jan - 2018

Sl.No.	Description	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (As perceived by you)	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	Communication Skill (In terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	Sincerity / Commitment of the Teacher	25.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
4	Interest generated by the teacher	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment / other issue,to provide a broader perspective	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	Ability to integrate content with other course	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	Ability to design quizzes / Tests /assignment / Examinations and projects to evaluate students	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	Overall Rating	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

No. of User's Feedback Given: 4

Student to Staff Feedback April/May 2018

Overall assessment

Percentage mean score > 80% V. Good

Percentage mean score between 60 – 80% Good

Percentage mean score 40 – 60% satisfactory

Percentage mean score < 40% Poor

Audiology (Ms. Priya)

Question No.	Mean	Mean (%)	Remark
1	3.4	67.6	Good
2	3.0	60.0	Good
3	3.1	62.1	Good
4	2.5	50.7	Satisfactory
5	3.0	60.0	Good
6	2.9	58.6	Satisfactory
7	2.9	57.1	Satisfactory
8	2.6	51.4	Satisfactory
9	2.6	52.9	Satisfactory
10	2.7	53.8	Satisfactory
11	2.5	49.2	Satisfactory
12	2.9	57.1	Satisfactory
13	2.8	55.7	Satisfactory
14	2.5	50.0	Satisfactory
15	2.8	56.4	Satisfactory
16	2.8	56.4	Satisfactory
17	3.0	60.0	Good
18	2.9	57.1	Satisfactory
19	3.2	64.3	Good
20	2.5	49.3	Satisfactory
Overa all	2.8	56.5	Satisfactory

ENT (Dr. Vinay)

Question No.	Mean	Mean(%)	Remark
--------------	------	---------	--------

1	2.4	48.6	satisfactory
2	2.6	52.1	satisfactory
3	2.7	54.3	satisfactory
4	2.3	45.0	satisfactory
5	2.6	51.4	satisfactory
6	1.8	35.7	satisfactory
7	2.5	49.3	satisfactory
8	2.5	49.3	satisfactory
9	2.7	54.3	satisfactory
10	2.4	47.4	satisfactory
11	2.1	42.9	satisfactory
12	2.6	51.1	satisfactory
13	2.4	47.4	satisfactory
14	2.5	50.0	satisfactory
15	2.5	49.3	satisfactory
16	2.5	50.0	satisfactory
17	2.5	50.0	satisfactory
18	2.6	51.1	satisfactory
19	2.7	54.3	satisfactory
20	2.5	49.3	satisfactory
Overa all	2.5	49.1	satisfactory

Speech (Sr. Cynthia)

Question No.	Mean	Mean(%)	Remark
1	3.7	74.3	Good
2	3.5	69.3	Good
3	3.1	62.9	Good
4	2.8	56.4	satisfactory
5	2.9	57.9	satisfactory
6	3.0	59.3	satisfactory
7	2.7	53.6	satisfactory
8	2.6	51.4	satisfactory
9	2.6	52.1	satisfactory
10	2.7	53.6	satisfactory
11	2.9	57.1	satisfactory
12	3.2	64.4	Good
13	2.5	50.7	satisfactory
14	2.7	54.1	satisfactory
15	2.7	54.1	satisfactory

16	2.9	58.5	satisfactory
17	2.9	57.8	satisfactory
18	2.9	57.0	satisfactory
19	3.4	67.4	Good
20	2.8	55.6	satisfactory
Overa all	2.9	58.4	satisfactory

Neurology (Dr. Raghavendra)

Question No.	Mean	Mean(%)	Remark
1	2.1	41.4	satisfactory
2	2.8	55.2	satisfactory
3	2.6	51.7	satisfactory
4	2.3	46.9	satisfactory
5	2.5	49.7	satisfactory
6	2.0	40.0	satisfactory
7	2.1	42.9	satisfactory
8	2.3	45.0	satisfactory
9	2.4	47.1	satisfactory
10	2.0	40.7	satisfactory
11	2.1	43.0	satisfactory
12	2.3	46.7	satisfactory
13	2.4	47.9	satisfactory
14	2.0	40.7	satisfactory
15	2.3	46.4	satisfactory
16	2.4	47.1	satisfactory
17	2.8	55.0	satisfactory
18	2.4	47.1	satisfactory
19	2.8	56.4	satisfactory
20	2.4	47.1	satisfactory
Overa all	2.3	46.9	satisfactory

Kannada (Mrs. Vedavathi)

Question No.	Mean	Mean(%)	Remark
1	3.2	63.4	Good
2	2.8	55.2	satisfactory
3	2.6	51.7	satisfactory
4	2.5	49.7	satisfactory
5	3.0	59.3	satisfactory
6	2.9	57.2	satisfactory
7	2.7	53.6	satisfactory
8	2.6	51.4	satisfactory
9	2.5	50.7	satisfactory
10	2.6	51.4	satisfactory
11	2.4	48.9	satisfactory
12	2.7	53.3	satisfactory
13	2.5	50.0	satisfactory
14	2.5	50.0	satisfactory
15	2.3	45.7	satisfactory
16	2.5	50.7	satisfactory
17	2.9	58.6	satisfactory
18	2.8	55.0	satisfactory
19	1.9	37.1	satisfactory
20	2.5	50.0	satisfactory
Overa all	2.6	52.2	satisfactory

HR (Mrs. Jyothi Pinto)

Question No.	Mean	Mean(%)	Remark
1	3.8	76.6	Good
2	3.7	73.1	Good
3	3.4	69.0	Good
4	3.3	66.9	Good
5	3.4	68.3	Good
6	3.5	70.3	Good
7	3.2	63.6	Good
8	3.0	60.7	Good
9	3.3	65.0	Good

10	3.3	65.7	Good
11	3.0	59.3	satisfactory
12	3.4	68.6	Good
13	3.3	66.4	Good
14	2.9	58.6	satisfactory
15	3.2	64.3	Good
16	3.4	67.9	Good
17	3.5	69.3	Good
18	3.3	65.7	Good
19	3.6	72.1	Good
20	3.4	68.6	Good
Overa all	3.3	67.0	Good

MSD (Ms. Dasmine)

Question No.	Mean	Mean(%)	Remark
1	3.6	72.6	Good
2	3.5	70.5	Good
3	3.3	66.3	Good
4	3.0	60.0	Good
5	3.2	64.2	Good
6	2.9	57.9	satisfactory
7	2.4	48.2	satisfactory
8	2.7	54.7	satisfactory
9	2.6	52.6	satisfactory
10	3.2	63.2	Good
11	2.7	54.7	satisfactory
12	3.2	63.2	Good
13	2.8	56.8	satisfactory
14	2.6	52.6	satisfactory
15	2.7	53.7	satisfactory
16	2.9	57.8	satisfactory
17	2.8	55.8	satisfactory
18	2.9	57.9	satisfactory
19	3.5	70.5	Good
20	3.1	61.1	Good
Overa all	3.0	59.7	satisfactory

PA (Ms. Nikita)

Question No.	Mean	Mean(%)	Remark
1	3.4	67.4	Good
2	3.2	64.2	Good
3	3.3	65.3	Good
4	2.7	54.7	satisfactory
5	2.9	57.9	satisfactory
6	2.9	57.9	satisfactory
7	2.7	53.3	satisfactory
8	2.5	50.5	satisfactory
9	2.7	54.7	satisfactory
10	3.1	61.1	Good
11	2.8	55.8	satisfactory
12	3.1	62.1	Good
13	3.0	60.0	Good
14	2.7	54.7	satisfactory
15	2.7	54.7	satisfactory
16	3.1	61.1	Good
17	3.1	62.1	Good
18	2.9	57.9	satisfactory
19	3.6	72.6	Good
20	3.0	60.0	Good
Overa all	3.0	59.4	satisfactory

DA(Mrs. Sushmitha)

Question No.	Mean	Mean(%)	Remark
1	3.7	73.7	Good
2	3.5	70.5	Good
3	3.5	69.5	Good
4	3.2	64.2	Good
5	3.4	67.4	Good
6	3.1	62.1	Good
7	2.7	53.7	satisfactory
8	2.9	58.9	satisfactory
9	2.9	58.9	satisfactory

10	3.2	64.2	Good
11	3.0	60.0	Good
12	3.4	68.4	Good
13	3.1	61.1	Good
14	2.8	56.8	satisfactory
15	3.0	60.0	Good
16	3.4	67.4	Good
17	3.2	63.2	Good
18	3.2	63.2	Good
19	3.3	66.3	Good
20	3.2	64.2	Good
Overa all	3.2	63.7	Good

VOICE(Ms. Jacqueline)

Question No.	Mean	Mean(%)	Remark
1	3.6	72.6	Good
2	3.8	75.8	Good
3	3.9	77.9	Good
4	3.6	72.6	Good
5	3.1	62.1	Good
6	3.2	64.2	Good
7	2.8	55.8	satisfactory
8	3.1	61.1	Good
9	3.2	63.2	Good
10	3.3	65.3	Good
11	3.0	60.0	Good
12	3.5	70.5	Good
13	3.5	70.5	Good
14	3.5	69.5	Good
15	3.1	61.1	Good
16	3.4	67.4	Good
17	3.5	70.5	Good
18	3.3	65.6	Good
19	3.4	67.8	Good
20	3.4	68.9	Good
Overa all	3.4	67.1	Good

NOISE (Ms. Ashwini)

Question No.	Mean	Mean(%)	Remark
1	3.3	65.5	Good
2	3.0	59.4	satisfactory
3	2.9	57.6	satisfactory
4	2.2	44.2	satisfactory
5	3.0	60.0	Good
6	2.7	53.9	satisfactory
7	3.2	63.0	Good
8	2.7	53.3	satisfactory
9	2.9	57.6	satisfactory
10	3.0	60.0	Good
11	2.6	52.7	satisfactory
12	2.9	58.1	satisfactory
13	2.8	55.8	satisfactory
14	2.6	52.7	satisfactory
15	2.8	56.9	satisfactory
16	2.8	57.0	satisfactory
17	3.0	60.0	Good
18	2.9	58.2	satisfactory
19	3.5	69.7	Good
20	2.9	58.2	satisfactory
Overa all	2.9	57.7	satisfactory

STATISTICS (Mrs. Praseena)

Question No.	Mean	Mean(%)	Remark
1	3.6	71.5	Good
2	3.4	67.3	Good
3	3.2	63.1	Good
4	2.9	57.6	satisfactory
5	3.1	61.8	Good
6	2.8	57.0	satisfactory
7	3.2	64.8	Good
8	2.7	54.5	satisfactory
9	2.9	58.2	satisfactory

10	2.9	57.6	satisfactory
11	2.7	53.3	satisfactory
12	3.0	60.6	Good
13	2.9	57.6	satisfactory
14	2.6	51.5	satisfactory
15	2.8	56.4	satisfactory
16	3.0	59.4	satisfactory
17	3.0	60.6	Good
18	2.9	58.8	satisfactory
19	3.3	66.7	Good
20	2.9	58.8	satisfactory
Overa all	3.0	59.9	satisfactory

NLD (Dr. Santosh)

Question No.	Mean	Mean(%)	Remark
1	3.9	77.5	Good
2	3.7	73.3	Good
3	3.7	73.8	Good
4	3.3	66.7	Good
5	3.5	70.3	Good
6	3.4	67.3	Good
7	3.4	67.3	Good
8	3.0	59.4	satisfactory
9	3.0	60.6	Good
10	3.0	60.0	Good
11	3.4	68.5	Good
12	3.1	61.8	Good
13	3.1	61.2	Good
14	2.8	57.0	satisfactory
15	2.9	58.2	satisfactory
16	3.0	60.0	Good
17	3.2	63.6	Good
18	3.1	61.2	Good
19	3.7	73.9	Good
20	3.1	61.8	Good
Overa all	3.3	65.2	Good

RESEARCH (Dr. Aju Abraham)

Question No.	Mean	Mean(%)	Remark
1	3.6	71.6	Good
2	3.1	61.9	Good
3	3.3	65.2	Good
4	2.9	57.4	satisfactory
5	3.1	62.6	Good
6	3.2	63.2	Good
7	3.3	66.5	Good
8	2.8	56.1	satisfactory
9	3.0	60.0	Good
10	3.1	62.6	Good
11	2.9	58.7	satisfactory
12	3.0	60.0	Good
13	2.9	58.1	satisfactory
14	2.8	56.8	satisfactory
15	3.0	60.6	Good
16	3.1	61.9	Good
17	3.2	63.2	Good
18	3.0	60.0	Good
19	3.6	71.6	Good
20	3.1	61.3	Good
Overa all	3.1	62.0	Good